

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

KATHRYN MARIE SEIDLER,

**Plaintiff,**

V.

AMAZON,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C23-0816JLR

## ORDER

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a summons and a copy of the plaintiff's complaint and sets forth the specific requirements for doing so. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which service must be effectuated, states in relevant part:

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

1 | *Id.* Here, it appears that Plaintiff has failed to serve Defendant with a summons and a  
2 | copy of Plaintiff's complaint within the timeframe provided in Rule 4(m). (See generally  
3 | Dkt.)

4 | Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE by **September 8,**  
5 | **2023**, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with Rule 4(m).  
6 | Alternatively, Plaintiff may respond, by **September 8, 2023**, with proof that Defendant  
7 | has in fact been served or has agreed to waive service. If Plaintiff does not demonstrate  
8 | good cause for the failure to comply with Rule 4(m), the court will dismiss the action  
9 | without prejudice.

10 | Dated this 29th day of August, 2023.

11 |  
12 |   
13 | 

---

14 |  
15 |  
16 |  
17 |  
18 |  
19 |  
20 |  
21 |  
22 |  
JAMES L. ROBART  
United States District Judge